

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/288,014	04/08/1999	SAID O. BELHAJ	BELHAJ-1	6965
, 75	90 09/23/2004			
FARKAS & M		HAROLD, JEFFEREY F		
	T N W 7TH FLOOR N. DC 200363307	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	., 20 200000		2644	7
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	No	Amelia and/a			
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/288,0	14	BELHAJ, SAID O.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jefferey F		2644			
T Period for R	the MAILING DATE of this communic teply	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC is of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the form the mailing date of this communication of the form the mailing date of this communication of the form the maximum state reply within the set or extended period for reply we received by the Office later than three months after the form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. d days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) <u></u> Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>08 April</u> 1999.					
·		b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
3) <u></u> Sir							
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	Papers	•					
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the	Examiner					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
	nowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority up	dor 25 II S.C. & 110/a	(d) or (f)			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority d Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receiv	ion No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	0.048)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Informatio	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P			Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 3-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayes et al. (United States Patent 4,087,638), hereinafter referenced as Hayes.

Regarding claim 1, Hayes discloses a DTMF communication system. In addition, Hayes discloses a tone dialer, comprising: a dial buffer adapted to contain a plurality of tone generator commands, and a tone generator adapted to generate tones in accordance with a sequence of said plurality of tone generator commands, wherein said tone generator commands include a first command corresponding to a mimicked activation of a particular key, and a second command corresponding to a mimicked release of said particular key, as disclosed at column 5, line 34 through column 8, line 4 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2.

Regarding **claim 3**, Hayes discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Hayes discloses a timer to time a generated length of tones when said dial buffer contains a plurality of non-null commands, as disclosed at column 5, line 34 through column 8, line 4 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2.

Regarding **claim 4**, Hayes discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3), in addition Hayes discloses wherein when said dial buffer contains no more

Application/Control Number: 09/288,014

Art Unit: 2644

than one non-null command, said tone generator is adapted to generate said non-null tone until said second command is received, as disclosed at column 5, line 34 through column 8, line 4 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2.

Regarding **claim 5**, Hayes discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Hayes discloses wherein the dial buffer and said tone generator are comprised in a single processor device, as disclosed at column 5, line 34 through column 8, line 4 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2.

Regarding **claim 6**, Hayes discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 5), in addition Hayes discloses wherein the single processor device is a digital signal processor, as disclosed at column 5, line 34 through column 8, line 4 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2.

Regarding **claim 7**, Hayes discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Hayes discloses wherein the dial buffer is a first in, first out device, as disclosed at column 5, line 34 through column 8, line 4 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2.

Regarding **claim 8**, Hayes discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Hayes discloses wherein the dial buffer is adapted to contain a stop DTMF tone generator command in every other location, as disclosed at column 5, line 34 through column 8, line 4 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2.

Regarding **claim 9**, Hayes discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Hayes discloses wherein the generated tones are dual tone,

Application/Control Number: 09/288,014

Art Unit: 2644

multiple frequency, tones, as disclosed at column 5, line 34 through column 8, line 4 and exhibited in figures 1 and 2.

Regarding **claims 10-15 and 17**, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claim 1 and 3-9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayes in view of well know prior art (MPEP 2144.03).

Regarding **claim 2**, Hayes disclose everything claimed, as applied above, (see claim 1), however, Hayes fails to disclose wherein the dial buffer is circular. However, the examiner takes official notice of the fact that it was well know in the art to provide wherein the dial buffer is circular.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hayes by specifically providing wherein the dial buffer is circular, for the purpose of continual storage of DTMF data.

Regarding **claim 16** it is interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/288,014

Art Unit: 2644

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F Harold whose telephone number is 703-306-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JFH

September 17, 2004

Jefferey F Harold Examiner Art Unit 2644 Page 5